

From rock-deformation laboratory to the deep underground laboratory of Bedretto: covering geothermal applications and earthquake physics at multiple scales

Domenico Giardini & the DUG-Lab team ETH Zurich, SCCER-SoE 3nd Induced Seismicity Workshop, Schatzalp, 6.3.2019

#### Schatzalp 2017 Next Step: 100m-scale "Flagship" Experiment









## Why a deep underground laboratory ?

- ✓ To perform stimulation experiments under a fully controlled environment at increasing depths and realistic conditions
- ✓ To bridge between laboratory experiments (1-10 cm scale) and deep reservoir stimulation (1-5 km scale, 5 km distance, little/no local monitoring, scarse knowledge of local conditions)
- ✓ To validate protocols and safe procedures before deployment in deep EGS
- To provide a testing ground integrating experimental, modeling and monitoring technologies
- To develop and test innovative methodologies for reservoir engineering
- ✓ To increase public confidence in geo-energy technologies

#### Why a deep underground laboratory ?







Questionable scaling, local control and monitoring difficult



#### **Deep underground laboratories in Switzerland**



#### **Mont Terri Project**



#### **GTS: Grimsel Test Site**



#### **BULG: Bedretto Underground Laboratory for Geoenergies**



Keller & Schneider, 1982

#### In-situ stimulation project @ Grimsel (Doetsch, tomorrow 08:30)



# Bedretto before constructions







#### **Bedretto under construction: inside the tunnel**









#### **Bedretto under construction: outside the tunnel**



# Preliminary investigations in the Bedretto tunnel: faults and stress orientation

- Micro Hydraulic Fracturing (MHF) was performed on three vertical boreholes
- Stress estimates indicate a maximum horizontal stress direction around 110°



Poster Ma



#### **Experiments lined up for the first phase of BULG**

- SFOE Pilot & Demonstration project Validation of Technologies for Reservoir Engineering (VTRE), for 100m scale reservoir stimulation
- ERANET-GEOTHERMICA project Zonal Isolation, Drilling and Exploitation of EGS projects (ZoDrEx)
- EU H2020 project DESTRESS: Demonstration of Soft Stimulation Treatments of Geothermal Reservoirs
- Flagship experiment Mitigating induced seismicity for successful geo-resources applications (Werner-Siemens Stiftung; ERC Synergy application, pending)

Partners include leading universities and research centers in Europe and worldwide:

ETH Zurich, EPFL, University of Fribourg, RWTH Aachen University, Deutsches GeoForschungsZentrum Potsdam, Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris, Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Roma, University of Grenoble, University of Stanford, the KAUST University of Saudi Arabia, the GeothermieZentrum of Bochum University, US Geological Survey.

and leading industry partners in geothermal technologies:

Geo-Energie Suisse, ANGER's SÖHNE (D), SIRIUS-ES (D), Welltec (DK), ES-Géothermie (F).

#### Scaling of deep underground experiments: Grimsel and Bedretto



#### **ERANET-GEOTHERMICA ZoDrEx: Zonal Isolation, Drilling and Exploitation of EGS projects**

- Focus on borehole completion, injection optimization, zonal isolation techniques, borehole-rock connection
- ✓ Strong industry participation and leadership (GES)



### EU DESTRESS: Demonstration of Soft Stimulation Treatments of Geothermal Reservoirs

- Focus on soft-stimulation, reservoir engineering, demonstration of high-TRL technologies for industrial applications
- ✓ Strong industry participation and leadership (GES)



#### Integrated VTRE-DESTRESS-ZoDrEx projects

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

- The three projects join plans to optimize resources, schedule, monitoring strategies, equipment
- Present plan: 3 300m long injection holes, 6 250m long monitoring holes (strain, seismic, Vp-Vs, P, T, ...)
- ✓ Duration: June 2019-2022
- Long-term experimental operation of reservoir for energy storage and extraction on a weekly, monthly and yearly timescale

### MISS Mitigating induced seismicity for successful geo-resources applications

- The first-ever experiment to perform 50-100m scale fluid injection and fault stimulation experiments, at over 1'000m depth, conditioning the stress distribution on the fault and validating the results of deep experiments with tests in rock-deformation laboratories, numerical modeling and observations from natural earthquakes.
- Integrating fault mechanics, seismology and numerical modeling across scales: from laboratory to deep underground experiments to natural earthquakes
- ✓ Focus on accurate fault monitoring and characterization, multi-parameter analysis, fluid-rock interaction, earthquake initiation and stopping, physical understanding across scales

#### Scaling of deep underground experiments: Grimsel and Bedretto

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $\log M_o$  (Nm)

#### Adapted from Cocco et al., 2016

![](_page_22_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### **MISS: key questions**

Earthquake physics: How do earthquakes nucleate, propagate and arrest? What is the role of pre-stress conditions and geometrical/rheological complexities (i.e., barriers) on earthquake nucleation, propagation and arrest? What is the role of fluids and pore-pressure changes, heterogeneity of frictional properties and dynamic parameters?

<u>Earthquake precursors:</u> Can we observe earthquake precursors? Are there transient process diagnostics of an impending rupture, or is the latter an unpredictable consequence of cascading interactions of slow and fast transients?

<u>What happens on and around the fault zone?</u> What is the inter-relation between seismic and aseismic deformation within the fault-zone and in the surrounding volume? What and how are the fault zone parameters controlling the degree of cross coupling between micro-seismic swarms, transient creep, and pore pressure transients?

<u>Implications for induced seismicity in geo-energy applications:</u> What stress conditions produce larger magnitude events? How can induced earthquakes, seismic and aseismic slip be controlled?

#### **MISS: integration across scales**

Validate the results of deep experiments with accurate fault monitoring and characterization, coring and tests in rock-deformation laboratories, numerical modeling and observations from natural earthquakes, integrating fault mechanics, seismology and physical understanding across scales: from laboratory to deep underground experiments to natural earthquakes

![](_page_24_Picture_2.jpeg)

a) LabQuake(X) @ ETHZ, b) HighSteps @ EPFL, c) SHIVA @ INGV

#### **BULG: Bedretto Underground Laboratory for Geoenergies**

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### **BULG: an international infrastructure**

- Included in EPOS TCS GETB: Geo-Energy Test Beds for low carbon energy
- Included in SERA+ NA "Networking deep laboratories and geo-energy infrastructures" (H2020 proposal)
- Open for Trans-National Access in SERA+ (Seismology and Earthquake Engineering Research Infrastructure Alliance for Europe) (H2020 proposal)

![](_page_26_Figure_4.jpeg)

→ BULG is open for collaborations and for new projects! Come with new ideas!

#### Swiss Energy Strategy 2050: electricity supply

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Swiss Energy Strategy 2050: electricity supply scenarios**

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

Renewables (thermal, PV, wind, geo)

Bezugsrechte

#### How large is a 26 TWh/a deficit ?

260 medium-size RoR dams

![](_page_30_Picture_2.jpeg)

20 MW RoR, 100 GWh/a KW Bremgarten-Zufikon, 1894

5'200 wind turbines

![](_page_30_Picture_5.jpeg)

2 MW wind turbine, 5 GWh/a RhoneEole Martigny, 2008

26'000 football field PV plants

![](_page_30_Picture_8.jpeg)

36'000 m2 (5 football fields), 5 GWh/a Riverside in Zuchwil, 2015

![](_page_30_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)