## Model testing and a new type of ensemble model to better forecast induced seismicity
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2. Cumulative LL

3. None of the 5 model variants is fully superior to the other.
2.) Ensemble model of the variants of HySei and SaSS performs as well as the cumulatively best individual model variant.
(3.) We form multicomponent ensembles models, i.e., separate weighting of forecast components, which improve forecasts performance during the late post-stimulation period
4. Injection scenarios to simulate operational environment
(A) Original injection curve

(B) Immediate shut-in

